UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,544	03/03/2004	Song-yean Cho	Q79871	3298
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 10/08/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE, N	BOKHARI, SYED M		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2416	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/791,544	CHO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	SYED BOKHARI	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover shee	et with the correspondence add	lress			
THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendm al (with appeal fee) in	ent, affidavit, or other evidence, v compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the o ter than SIX MONTHS fro b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b	date set forth in the final rejection, whom the mailing date of the final rejection	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the correspon hortened statutory period	ding amount of the fee. The appropri for reply originally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 4	11.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of th				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or sear w);	ch (see NOTE below);				
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a company of the present additional claims. 			he issues for			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice	e of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a	a separate, timely filed amendme	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			explanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections i	under appeal and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the cla	aims after entry is below or attach	ned.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>						
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No	(s)				
/Kwang B. Yao/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2616	/Syed Bokha Examiner, Art					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant states in the remark regarding claim 1, "Lui fails to teach or suggest a sending node, which transmits the unicast message, receives a management packet and also fails to teach or suggest a comparing unit which performs a comparison based on the received management packet". Eaxaminer respectfully disagrees. Lui teaches comparing unit and transmission of unicast mrssage (see column 29 lines 26-32 and column 14 lines 11-22). applicant further states "In contrast, claim 1, recites that the data packet is retransmitted by at least one node according to a result of the comparision". Examiner respectfully disagrees. Lui teaches the retransmission according to to a result of comaring unit (see column 5 lines 46-59).